Sent Freder 156

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

402646

i wang dan managan menganan m	Radiation Educatory	
	K.	
	(8-12-2)	
Cover Sheet	(88-122) INDEX NO. P.W. 4837	
Do not remove	This document contains 2 pages This is copy 1 of 7 series	
Charles In the State of the Sta	This is copy ofseries	£
	Date	
	1.10 8 11	
Le Carlot and the state of the	Issued to W.B. R Eynolds	
Le Carlot and the state of the state of		
Mark the state of		
Experience of the second secon	AND CONTROL OF THE CO	
K. E. a.	AND THE PARTY OF T	

Each person who receives this document must sign the cover sheet in the space below.

Route to	Noted by	Date	Route to	Noted by	Date
WBK	11/138				
	//			·	
			pacial Revie	v of Daciassified Rap	orie
			Au horizud	DV USDOE JK Braite	
			ZURT PRO	PERLY DECLAS:	the second
CIASSIFICATION	OX CANCELLED		Alle	AF	R 8 1980
DATE 2	-24-74		Aurily zod Derivati	ve Classifier	D: 1980
For the U.S.A.	denic Energy Commissio	1	7		Date
				PU	/
				100	$\gamma = \gamma = \gamma = \gamma$

ROUTE	SIGNED
EOL	
DC	
WBR	
RWB	
RPC	
CEA	
1.07	
Return	to UCRL
Rm. 149,	Bldg. 50

ભુકારે <u>તે</u> કોને કોન્ડ્ર

:::(\$\$\$\$\$\$

UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

PERSONAL PROPERTY

SAN FRANCISCO OPERATIONS OFFICE

CALIFORNIA

JUL 0 0 131.4

AS-122
THIS DOCUMENT CONSISTS OF 2 PAGES
NO. 1 OF 7 SERIES A.

194 - 168 - Manhadttal of the discionure

of its contents in any manner to an un-

20 mayoran machine

Mr. W. B. Reynolds, Business Manager University of California Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

Berkeley, Callionnia authorized person is prohibited.

Subject: USE OF THE NEVADA PROVING GROUND

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

At its meeting June 30, 1954 the Commission approved the continued use of the Nevada Proving Ground for atomic test activities subject to conduct of test activities in accordance with the following criteria which are furnished herewith for guidance and planning purposes.

- a. The number of nuclear shots at the Nevada Proving Ground in one year should be determined by laboratory requirements as reviewed by the Division of Military Application in the light of other pertinent considerations and approved by the Commission.
- b. Each nuclear shot programmed whether AEC, military or civil defense should be justified individually and the number involved should be held to the minimum consistent with technical requirements.
- c. Each potentially hazardous shot should be separately identified and justification for such a shot should include plans for controlling or reducing fall-out from it.
- d. Shots should be scheduled with more elasticity, so that noncritical shots may be fired when conditions are not right for more critical or marginal shots. Such elasticity will benefit from addition of new firing areas.



W. B. Reynolds

- e. Marginal shots should be fired only under satisfactory weather conditions that have a high degree of predictable stability. The possibility of continuing postponements and of resulting extension of series duration should be accepted. Participating organizations and units should be advised that they must accept the possibility of postponements on such shots.
- f. Any air drop of more than 1 KT projected yield should be scheduled only after thorough evaluation of the reliability of its fuzing system.
- g. Shots should be limited as follows with regard to yield and burst altitude, with maximum yield to incorporate a reasonable allowance for error:

Surface and subsurface, 1 KT 300 foot tower, 25 KT 500 foot tower, 50 KT Air drop, 80 KT (Fireball not to touch ground).

Prior to detonating a 50 KT weapon from a 500 foot tower the safety factor calculated for such a shot should be confirmed by detonating a shot of lesser magnitude from a 500 foot tower.

Sincerely yours,

Hadidle

H. A. Fidler, Manager San Francisco Operations Office

cy 3A - H. York, UCRL, Livermore

Section 18

